


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIESTO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy ClerkRECEIVED DATE: 8/22/2008CASE NO.: 08cv1033 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Hennington, Jr.CASE TITLE: Hennington, Jr. v. US CustomsDOCUMENT ENTITLED: Proof of Service for Complaint

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Local Rule</i>	<i>Discrepancy</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER: San Diego Superior Court forms; Documents referenced in POS are not filed in District Court</u>

Date forwarded: 8/25/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. No. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: HoustonDated: 8/26/08
cc: All PartiesBy: JW

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Alvin Hennington Jr 5170 Clairmont Mesa Blvd Unit4 San Diego, CA 92117-1465 E-MAIL		EJECTED Telephone (858) 495-0695 FAX	
FOR COURT USE ONLY			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): IN PROPRIA PERSONA			
San Diego County Superior Court - San Diego - Hall of Justice			
STREET ADDRESS: 330 W Broadway			
MAILING ADDRESS:			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101			
BRANCH NAME: San Diego - Hall of Justice			
PLAINTIFF: Alvin Hennington Jr			
DEFENDANT: Federal Bureau of Investigation, U S Cus			
PROOF OF SERVICE		FILE NUMBER 2008142312	COURT CASE NUMBER 37-2008-00081249

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
2. I served copies of the:
f. other (specify documents):
Complaint
3. a. Party served: **Federal Bureau of Investigation | Erika Foxworth, Special Agent/authorized.**
b. Person served: **party in item 3a**
4. Address where party was served: **9797 Aero Dr**
San Diego, CA 92123-1899
5. I served the party
a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of the process for the party (1)on: 5/14/2008 (2)at: 11:36 AM.
6. The "Notice to the Person Served" was completed as follows:
7. Person who served papers:
a. Name: **T Camoras 1996**
b. Address: **San Diego County Sheriff Sheriff's Civil Office PO Box 85306 San Diego, CA 92186-5306**
c. Telephone number: **(619) 544-6401**
d. The fee for service was: **\$30.00 (Waived)**
9. I am a California sheriff or marshal and I certify that the foregoing is true and correct.

*08CV859JLS.
BLM*

Date: August 22, 2008

Sheriff's Authorized Agent

William B. Kolender, Sheriff

E. A. GREENAWALD
E. A. GREENAWALD

Hearing: <No Information>